

Codes of Educational Transformation: Interaction, Meaning, and Communication Strategies in the Age of Artificial Intelligence

Burak Pekün, burakpekun@mu.edu.tr, Mugla Sitki Kocman University, Turkey, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8480-0202>

ABSTRACT

This study critically examines the evolving role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies in educational transformation, with a particular focus on interaction, meaning-making, and institutional communication strategies. Within the Resource-Based View (RBV) framework, AI is conceptualized as a strategic and intangible asset capable of restructuring the pedagogical, operational, and symbolic dimensions of education. Through a qualitative thematic analysis of 26 peer-reviewed journal sources published between 2019 and 2024, the study investigates how generative AI models, especially ChatGPT, mediate new forms of interaction, foster personalized learning, and transform the communication infrastructure of educational institutions. The analysis identifies three interconnected “transformation codes”: interactional (system-mediated interaction and personalization), meaning-based (ethical, cultural, and epistemological redefinitions), and communicational (strategic signaling and legitimacy construction). These codes highlight a shift from instructor-centered paradigms toward AI-supported ecosystems in which educational value is co-produced by human and algorithmic agents. The findings reveal the transformative potential of AI, as well as the inherent risks it poses, including concerns about academic integrity, digital inequality, and cultural homogenization. The study argues that sustainable AI integration requires not only technical competence but also ethically informed and culturally contextualized communication strategies. Thus, it proposes that AI functions as a catalyst for reimagining education as a communicational institution, contingent upon conditions of trust, equity, and strategic alignment.

Keywords: Education, artificial intelligence, chatbots

INTRODUCTION

Transformation in education refers to structural, technological, and pedagogical changes that reshape how knowledge is produced, transmitted, and received across different learning environments. These shifts have become particularly visible in response to major global disruptions, public health crises, rapid digitalization, and changing workforce demands (Bonfield et al., 2020; Ohara, 2023; Chiu, 2024). Among these turning points, the COVID-19 pandemic stands out as a defining moment that directed educational institutions worldwide to adopt remote learning, implement hybrid instructional formats, and rely heavily on digital tools to maintain continuity (Bonfield et al., 2020). Although these changes initially functioned as measures of necessity, they accelerated an already ongoing process of technological integration in educational policy and practice.

As part of this evolution, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become an increasingly prominent subject in global education (Bahroun et al., 2023; Chiu, 2024) as well as in Türkiye (Savaş, 2021; İçen, 2022). AI generally refers to computer systems capable of performing tasks that require human intelligence, including decision-making, problem-solving, and language comprehension. More advanced forms of AI can also perform functions such as generating text and visuals (Bahroun et al., 2023). Applications of this technology in education are varied and include personalized learning pathways, automated assessment, intelligent tutoring systems, and real-time feedback mechanisms (George & Wooden, 2023).

Within the broader AI ecosystem, conversational agents, also known as AI chatbots, constitute a distinctive and increasingly significant category. These tools utilize natural language processing (NLP) to engage in dialogue with users, answer questions, and generate content in real-time (Adıgüzel et al., 2023). Among them, OpenAI's ChatGPT has gained particular attention. Based on a large language model architecture, ChatGPT can generate human-like responses across a wide range of topics, serving as a versatile tool for inquiry, reasoning, and creative expression. Although not initially designed for educational purposes, ChatGPT has rapidly been adopted both formally and informally in classroom settings. Students use it to draft essays, clarify complex topics, and receive immediate feedback, while educators use it to develop instructional materials, generate assessment items, and experiment with new teaching methods (Liu et al., 2023). These are just a few examples of its uses; systems like ChatGPT have much broader applications.

These developments signal a shift in the role of AI from a passive provider of content to an interactive and adaptive learning partner. Theoretically, AI systems like ChatGPT can provide scalable, personalized support to learners and augment teacher capabilities, particularly in resource-constrained environments. However, this transformation also introduces substantial challenges. Ethical concerns persist regarding data privacy, plagiarism, and

transparency. Additionally, questions remain surrounding equity, cultural adaptability, and the future role of humans, particularly teachers, in AI-mediated classrooms. Furthermore, there is a growing need to examine how AI affects the symbolic and communication dimensions of education, including how learning is defined, evaluated, and legitimized in digitally transformed institutions.

This study aims to contribute to ongoing discussions about the role of AI in education and systematically examines how AI reshapes interaction, meaning-making, and communication strategies within educational systems. Using qualitative thematic analysis, the research seeks to identify emerging patterns and critical tensions that characterize this new phase of educational transformation. By addressing AI within a strategic and communication framework, the study aims to provide deeper insights into the risks, opportunities, and institutional implications associated with AI-supported learning environments.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is based on the Resource-Based View (RBV) of the firm, first proposed by Barney (1991). RBV posits that sustainable competitive advantage stems from resources that are valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable (Barney, 1991). Initially applied in the context of business, this approach has been extended to diverse fields, including education, to encompass service quality and digital innovation.

For example, Smith (2007) applied RBV to e-learning systems and argued that developing and deploying strategic digital tools in education can serve as differentiating resources. These tools are not merely additions but become core assets that determine institutional success in competitive learning environments. In this sense, artificial intelligence technologies such as chatbots and adaptive learning systems can be viewed as strategic resources that enable educational institutions to respond effectively to changing learner needs, enhance operational efficiency, and improve pedagogical outcomes.

In more recent work, Vasudevan (2021) emphasized the relevance of RBV in understanding the education sector, particularly when evaluating innovations in digital transformation and service quality. Educational institutions, like firms, must decide how to allocate resources effectively, including decisions concerning the adoption and implementation of AI systems. In this context, the strategic use of AI systems becomes a resource allocation decision with long-term implications.

This theoretical framework enables the study to approach AI in education not only as a technological trend but also as a strategic opportunity that should be evaluated in terms of its capacity to generate educational value, foster interaction, and improve outcomes through communication and meaning-making processes.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative research methodology based on thematic examination and analysis of 26 academic sources published between 2019 and 2024 (for the publications used in thematic analysis, see Table 1). The selected time frame represents a period of substantial transformation in the global educational landscape, marked by the COVID-19 pandemic and the rapid development and implementation of AI technologies. The articles were systematically searched through three primary academic databases: Google Scholar, SCOPUS, and JSTOR. The search strategy included keyword combinations such as "educational transformation", "artificial intelligence in education", "AI and learning", "ChatGPT in the classroom", and "AI ethics in education". These combinations were designed to capture a broad perspective and interdisciplinary approaches relevant to the topic. Inclusion criteria required that the articles be published in peer-reviewed journals, written in English, and published after 2019 to ensure relevance and currency. Exclusion criteria included opinion pieces, news articles, and non-academic essays.

Table 1. Studies Used in Thematic Analysis

Author(s)	Title	Year	Source
Adiguzel, T., Kaya, M. H., & Cansu, F. K.	Revolutionizing education with AI: Exploring the transformative potential of ChatGPT	2023	Contemporary Educational Technology
Ashraf, M. A., Mollah, S., Perveen, S., Shabnam, N., & Nahar, L.	Pedagogical applications, prospects, and challenges of blended learning in Chinese higher education: A systematic review	2022	Frontiers in Psychology
Bahroun, Z., Anane, C., Ahmed, V., & Zacca, A.	Transforming education: A comprehensive review of generative artificial intelligence in educational settings through bibliometric and content analysis	2023	Sustainability

Bonfield, C. A., Salter, M., Longmuir, A., Benson, M., & Adachi, C.	Transformation or evolution?: Education 4.0, teaching and learning in the digital age	2020	Higher Education Pedagogies
Cantú-Ortiz, F. J., Galeano Sánchez, N., Garrido, L., Terashima-Marin, H., & Brena, R. F.	An artificial intelligence educational strategy for the digital transformation	2020	International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing
Chiu, T. K.	Future research recommendations for transforming higher education with generative AI	2024	Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence
Flores-Viva, J. M., & García-Peñalvo, F. J.	Reflections on the ethics, potential, and challenges of artificial intelligence in the framework of quality education (SDG4)	2023	Comunicar: Media Education Research Journal
García-Peñalvo, F. J.	Avoiding the Dark Side of Digital Transformation in Teaching. An institutional reference framework for eLearning in higher education	2021	Sustainability
George, B., & Wooden, O.	Managing the strategic transformation of higher education through artificial intelligence	2023	Administrative Sciences
Grájeda, A., Burgos, J., Córdova, P., & Sanjinés, A.	Assessing student-perceived impact of using artificial intelligence tools: Construction of a synthetic index of application in higher education	2024	Cogent Education
İçen, M.	The future of education utilizing artificial intelligence in Turkey	2022	Humanities and Social Sciences Communications
Klopov, I., Shapurov, O., Voronkova, V., Nikitenko, V., Oleksenko, R., Khavina, I., & Chebakova, Y.	Digital transformation of education based on artificial intelligence	2023	TEM Journal
Kohnke, L., Moorhouse, B. L., & Zou, D.	Exploring generative artificial intelligence preparedness among university language instructors: A case study	2023	Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence
Kuleto, V., Ilić, M., Dumangiu, M., Ranković, M., Martins, O. M., Păun, D., & Mihoreanu, L.	Exploring opportunities and challenges of artificial intelligence and machine learning in higher education institutions	2021	Sustainability
Liu, M., Ren, Y., Nyagoga, L. M., Stonier, F., Wu, Z., & Yu, L.	Future of education in the era of generative artificial intelligence: Consensus among Chinese scholars on applications of ChatGPT in schools	2023	Future in Educational Research
Luttrell, R., Wallace, A., McCollough, C., & Lee, J.	The digital divide: Addressing artificial intelligence in communication education	2020	Journalism & Mass Communication Educator
Mohamed Hashim, M. A., Tlemsani, I., & Matthews, R.	Higher education strategy in digital transformation	2022	Education and Information Technologies

Ocaña-Fernández, Y., Valenzuela-Fernández, L. A., & Garro-Aburto, L. L.	Artificial intelligence and its implications in higher education	2019	Journal of Educational Psychology-Propósitos y Representaciones
Ohara, M. R.	The role of social media in educational communication management	2023	Journal of Contemporary Administration and Management
Rahiman, H. U., & Kodikal, R.	Revolutionizing education: Artificial intelligence empowered learning in higher education	2024	Cogent Education
Rejeb, A., Rejeb, K., Appolloni, A., Treiblmaier, H., & Iranmanesh, M.	Exploring the impact of ChatGPT on education: A web mining and machine learning approach	2024	The International Journal of Management Education
Savaş, S.	Artificial intelligence and innovative applications in education: The case of Turkey	2021	Journal of Information Systems and Management Research
Southworth, J., Migliaccio, K., Glover, J., Glover, J. N., Reed, D., McCarty, C., ... & Thomas, A.	Developing a model for AI Across the curriculum: Transforming the higher education landscape via innovation in AI literacy	2023	Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence
Timotheou, S., Miliou, O., Dimitriadis, Y., Sobrino, S. V., Giannoutsou, N., Cachia, R., ... & Ioannou, A.	Impacts of digital technologies on education and factors influencing schools' digital capacity and transformation: A literature review	2023	Education and Information Technologies
Wang, T., Lund, B. D., Marengo, A., Pagano, A., Mannuru, N. R., Teel, Z. A., & Pange, J.	Exploring the potential impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on international students in higher education: Generative AI, chatbots, analytics, and international student success	2023	Applied Sciences
Zouhaier, S.	The impact of artificial intelligence on higher education: An empirical study	2023	European Journal of Educational Sciences

The analysis was conducted manually and qualitatively. Following the method proposed by Bryman (2012), the review process involved iterative reading, coding, and categorizing of the selected articles into thematic categories. This approach enabled the identification of patterns, contradictions, and emerging narratives across different studies. Particular attention was given to the roles of artificial intelligence in educational settings, specifically its capacity to enhance or complicate processes of interaction, meaning-making, and communication. Each article was examined in terms of how it positioned AI as a technological tool and how it addressed the pedagogical, ethical, or institutional dimensions of educational transformation.

The selected literature comprises empirical studies, conceptual analyses, case studies, and reviews that offer a multidimensional understanding of the topic. Themes were developed through comparison and contrast, ensuring that the findings were approached not only descriptively but also interpretatively and critically. Considering the diversity of sources and approaches, the complexity of the analysis was acknowledged while maintaining clarity in identifying core transformation codes. By focusing solely on peer-reviewed academic literature, the study aims to preserve a high level of academic rigor and contribute to the growing discourse on artificial intelligence in education.

The findings are structured to effectively address the following research question: "How has artificial intelligence, particularly with recent developments in tools such as chatbots and ChatGPT, influenced educational transformation, specifically in terms of interaction, meaning-making, and communication strategies?"

FINDINGS

Interaction: Redefining Educational Engagement

The reviewed literature indicates that artificial intelligence (AI) is already producing significant changes in interactions within educational contexts (e.g., Zouhaier, 2023). Traditional forms of communication between students and instructors are increasingly mediated through algorithms, intelligent tutoring systems, and chatbots. Cantú-Ortiz et al. (2020) demonstrate how an AI-enhanced curriculum at Tecnológico de Monterrey restructures student interaction through challenge-based learning and hybrid instructional methods. This approach involves not only digitizing existing practices but also creating new spaces in which students co-construct learning with AI tools. Similar experiences have been reported in Türkiye (Savaş, 2021; İçen, 2022). Empirical findings by Grájeda et al. (2024) provide evidence regarding the increasing use and general benefits of AI in education. In this context, Adıgüzel et al. (2023) discuss three paradigms of AI-based education: AI-guided, AI-supported, and AI-empowered learning.

George and Wooden (2023) expand this discussion through the notion of “smart universities.” In this context, interaction becomes embedded in personalized learning paths. Algorithms analyze student behaviors to create customized learning routes, shifting the center of control from instructors to system-mediated environments. While this enhances accessibility and responsiveness, it also raises concerns regarding the transparency of decision-making processes and the erosion of human autonomy. Bonfield et al. (2020) emphasize that the distinction between emergency remote teaching and deliberate digital pedagogy lies precisely in the quality of interaction design, a point that has become increasingly visible with the pandemic.

Overall, there is consensus that generative AI tools accelerate both observed and anticipated transformations. Bahroun et al. (2023) note that interaction in assessment, personalized learning support, and intelligent tutoring is co-created by students and algorithms. ChatGPT, examined by Adıgüzel et al. (2023) and Rejeb et al. (2024), is a typical example of this transformation. Students can ask questions across a broad range of domains and receive instant responses on the ChatGPT platform, producing interactional dynamics that extend beyond classroom boundaries. It may also be argued that high levels of interaction with AI in educational contexts prepare future professionals to use such technologies appropriately. However, the same interaction also generates concerns regarding academic integrity, since students may rely on AI-generated outputs while bypassing critical thinking processes (Adıgüzel et al., 2023). This issue is examined in detail in the next section.

International student contexts make these dynamics even more visible. Wang et al. (2023) demonstrate that AI-based tools such as chatbots, translation systems, and predictive analytics significantly enhance intercultural interaction, enabling students to overcome linguistic and cultural barriers. However, these benefits must be balanced against risks of privacy violations and cultural homogenization. Similarly, Ashraf et al. (2022) observe that blended learning in China is shaped through digital platforms, which enhance interaction opportunities while also straining pedagogical frameworks when adequate support is lacking.

The digital divide reveals that interactional gains are not distributed equally. Timotheou et al. (2023) show that disparities in digital capacity during the pandemic heightened inequities, with unprepared schools struggling to adapt. Thus, interaction becomes dependent upon access to technology, institutional readiness, and teacher competencies. Grájeda et al. (2024) reinforce this empirically, showing that students’ perceptions of AI effectiveness vary greatly depending on institutional support and instructor competence.

From a Resource-Based View (RBV) perspective, the transformation of educational interaction through AI represents the strategic use of unique and valuable resources. AI-supported platforms and systems such as ChatGPT operate as intangible assets that enhance institutional capabilities in personalization, scalability, and intercultural communication. Universities that effectively integrate these tools institutionally may gain a competitive advantage, particularly when such implementation is distinctive to the institution. Furthermore, integrating AI into curricula may be required simply for institutions to maintain parity with their competitors.

Meaning: Ethical, Cultural, and Pedagogical Dimensions

While interaction captures the immediate dynamics of AI use, meaning addresses how such transformations are interpreted, valued, and debated. The central issue concerns what education signifies in an AI-mediated world.

Ocaña-Fernández et al. (2019) argue that AI makes unprecedented personalization possible in learning, aligning educational content with individual needs. However, they also emphasize that the meaning of education must be redefined to encompass digital literacy and the universalization of technological language. Nevertheless, this redefinition is not neutral. García-Peñalvo (2021) and Flores-Viva and García-Peñalvo (2023) highlight the ethical implications of AI in education. While AI supports the universal quality education goal of Sustainable Development Goal 4 (United Nations, 2025), it also raises concerns about replacing teachers and compromising empathy in instruction. The call for an ethical observatory proposed by García-Peñalvo (2021) and Flores-Viva and García-Peñalvo (2023) highlights the need to preserve the meaning of human-centered education in the face of technological acceleration.

Rejeb et al. (2024) add another dimension to this framework by demonstrating that public perceptions of ChatGPT oscillate between opportunities and threats. While the model supports writing and promotes inclusivity, it also raises concerns about plagiarism and data privacy. These tensions shape the social meaning of AI in education: AI becomes both a democratizing force and a potential threat to academic integrity.

Cultural contexts complicate this picture. Kohnke et al. (2023) demonstrate that instructors' attitudes toward generative AI are influenced by their confidence, familiarity, and institutional support, suggesting that meaning is constructed differently within local professional cultures. For international students, meaning emerges through tools that help them overcome linguistic and cultural barriers (Wang et al., 2023). However, the inability of such tools to adequately convey cultural nuance or oral interaction exposes the gap between machine-mediated and human meaning-making.

The pandemic further revealed how quickly the meanings of digital education shift. Bonfield et al. (2020) note that emergency online teaching risks being mistaken for digital transformation, obscuring the distinction between crisis-driven adaptation and planned pedagogical change. Similarly, Timotheou et al. (2023) observe that low digital capacity led to learning loss and diminished trust in digital education, showing that meaning depends on experience and preparedness.

From an RBV perspective, meaning in AI-mediated education is a strategic intangible resource that shapes institutional identity and legitimacy. The capacity to redefine education around personalization, ethics, and cultural fit provides universities with assets that generate differentiating values. Institutions that embed AI while preserving empathy, integrity, and inclusivity may convert this into a meaningful potential competitive advantage.

Communication Strategies: Institutional and Strategic Alignment

Beyond interaction and meaning, communication strategies have an institutional dimension. This concerns how universities, schools, and governments respond to AI-driven transformation and position themselves.

Mohamed Hashim et al. (2022) argue that digital transformation reshapes competitive advantage in higher education, necessitating that universities view adaptation and communication as strategic assets. AI adoption becomes a signaling mechanism reflecting institutional modernity and readiness. Rahiman and Kodikal (2024) empirically verify that faculty engagement is mediated through risk perception and performance expectations, showing that communication strategies must manage perceptions as much as technical capacity.

Southworth et al. (2023) present the University of Florida's "AI Across the Curriculum" initiative as a concrete example. By integrating interdisciplinary AI literacy into the curriculum, the university communicates a strategic commitment to preparing an AI-ready workforce. This initiative demonstrates that AI integration functions as both a pedagogical and reputational tool, positioning institutions within the global education market.

Kuleto et al. (2021) and Luttrell et al. (2020) emphasize the communication dimension of curriculum redesign, noting that AI literacy becomes part of how institutions frame their relevance to students and employers. Similarly, Klopov et al. (2023) propose an AI-based cognitive education model that highlights reflective thinking and cultural values as communicational anchors. These frameworks demonstrate that AI-driven transformation is inextricably linked to how institutions articulate their missions and establish trust.

Communication strategies, however, also face risks. George and Wooden (2023) warn that innovative universities may encounter resistance if employers and stakeholders do not accept degrees enriched by AI. Rejeb et al. (2024) highlight reputational risks associated with plagiarism scandals linked to AI misuse, while Flores-Viva and García-Peña (2023) caution that ethical violations may undermine legitimacy. Communication strategies must therefore strike a balance between promoting innovation and engaging proactively in ethical debates.

Internationalization adds another dimension. Wang et al. (2023) demonstrate that AI enhances institutions' communicative reach to international students by customizing support through chatbots and translation tools. Nevertheless, these strategies risk homogenization if cultural differences are not adequately addressed. Ashraf et al. (2022) similarly note that blended learning in China is effective only when strong pedagogical frameworks are incorporated into communication strategies, indicating that technology alone does not guarantee success.

From an RBV perspective, communication strategies are valuable resources that construct meaning and signal institutional alignment. Universities transform communication into a rare and reputation-enhancing capability by incorporating AI into their curricula and messaging. When aligned with ethical safeguards and cultural sensitivity, these strategies generate enduring meaning, strengthening trust and legitimacy as well as competitive advantage in global education markets.

Transformation Codes: Cross-Level Integration

The synthesis of interaction, meaning, and communication strategies reveals "transformation codes" in education. These codes function as patterns that describe how AI restructures education:

- Interaction code: Education shifts toward system-mediated interaction, in which AI tools reshape teacher-student dynamics and expand opportunities for personalization.
- Meaning code: Ethical, cultural, and experiential dimensions redefine what education signifies, balancing efficiency with empathy and personalization with integrity.
- Strategic communication code: Institutions frame AI adoption as part of their identity and legitimacy, positioning themselves within competitive and cultural landscapes.

These codes are interdependent. Without meaningful interaction, communication strategies lose credibility. Without ethical meaning, the resources defined under the RBV framework lose legitimacy. Without strategic communication, interaction-based innovation lacks institutional sustainability. Thus, educational transformation cannot be reduced solely to technical advancement; it reflects the communicational restructuring of education as a social institution.

CONCLUSION

Educational transformation in the age of artificial intelligence (AI) can best be understood as the reconfiguration of the codes that govern interaction, meaning, and communication strategies.

First, interaction is being reshaped at both micro and macro levels. AI tools facilitate communication between teachers and students, offering new possibilities for personalization, assessment, and engagement. However, the digital divide and disparities in instructor readiness indicate that not all students benefit equally from these interactions. Transformation, therefore, requires continuous investment in capacity building in order to prevent the emergence of new forms of inequality.

Second, the meaning of education is evolving in response to ethical and cultural pressures. Although AI promises efficiency and personalization, it raises profound questions concerning fundamental issues such as integrity, empathy, and legitimacy. The challenge is to ensure that AI supports rather than replaces the human dimensions of education. In addition, cultural contexts shape these meanings, since interpretations vary across societies. In this respect, meaning is not only technical but also symbolic, reflecting societal values regarding what education ought to be.

Third, communication strategies determine how institutions manage and represent the transformation process. Universities that integrate AI strategically signal leadership in global education markets while innovating in pedagogy. At the same time, internal institutional perceptions of risk and expectation shape the trajectories that institutions follow. Communication is both outward-facing, directed toward stakeholders, and inward-facing, shaping the attitudes of instructors and students. Failing to strike a balance between innovation and ethical communication risks undermining trust and legitimacy.

When these dynamics are evaluated through the lens of the Resource-Based View (RBV), it becomes apparent that the value of AI lies in its status as a resource that is tied to institutional capabilities, routines, and legitimacy. Educational systems that view digital innovation as a strategic resource may gain a long-term advantage, provided that they also integrate ethical and communicational dimensions. In this regard, Flores-Viva and García-Peñalvo's (2023) call for an ethical observatory is not peripheral but central: legitimacy and trust are indispensable intangible resources for the sustainability of transformation. The transformation codes are also interdependent and mutually reinforcing. In the AI age, the direction of education is determined by how institutions and societies interpret, implement, and communicate technology. The future of education depends on aligning these codes toward inclusion, transparency, and cultural sensitivity; otherwise, there is a risk of fragmentation driven by inequality, mistrust, and ethical violations.

The main inference drawn from the findings is that the true potential of AI in education lies not only in efficiency and innovation, but also in its capacity to deepen human interaction, broaden the meaning of learning, and strengthen the communicative legitimacy of institutions. A critical examination of the existing literature and the positioning of AI within the RBV framework shows that transformation is necessary not only for pioneering institutions but also for followers. The central issue is ensuring that this transformation remains human-centered, ethically grounded, and strategically sustainable. Only under these conditions can education fully realize AI's promise as a driving force of meaningful and equitable progress.

Future research should examine the long-term effects of AI on learning outcomes across different disciplines and cultural contexts. Longitudinal studies that assess the impact of AI on cognitive development, social skills, and the formation of academic identity are critical. In addition, interdisciplinary collaboration among education specialists, computer scientists, and ethicists can provide more robust frameworks for understanding and guiding the evolution of education in the era of AI.

REFERENCES

Adiguzel, T., Kaya, M. H., & Cansu, F. K. (2023). Revolutionizing education with AI: Exploring the transformative potential of ChatGPT. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 15(3), ep429. <http://dx.doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/13152>

Ashraf, M. A., Mollah, S., Perveen, S., Shabnam, N., & Nahar, L. (2022). Pedagogical applications, prospects, and challenges of blended learning in Chinese higher education: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 772322. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.772322>

Bahroun, Z., Anane, C., Ahmed, V., & Zaccia, A. (2023). Transforming education: A comprehensive review of generative artificial intelligence in educational settings through bibliometric and content analysis. *Sustainability*, 15(17), 12983. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151712983>

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>

Birleşmiş Milletler (2025). Sürdürülebilir Kalkınma Aracı 4: Nitelikli Eğitim. <https://turkiye.un.org/tr/sdgs/4>

Bonfield, C. A., Salter, M., Longmuir, A., Benson, M., & Adachi, C. (2020). Transformation or evolution?: Education 4.0, teaching and learning in the digital age. *Higher Education Pedagogies*, 5(1), 223-246. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23752696.2020.1816847>

Bryman, A. (2012). *Social research methods* (4th ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Cantú-Ortiz, F. J., Galeano Sánchez, N., Garrido, L., Terashima-Marin, H., & Brena, R. F. (2020). An artificial intelligence educational strategy for the digital transformation. *International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing*, 14(4), 1195-1209. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12008-020-00702-8>

Chiu, T. K. (2024). Future research recommendations for transforming higher education with generative AI. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 6, 100197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeari.2023.100197>

Flores-Viva, J. M., & García-Peña, F. J. (2023). Reflections on the ethics, potential, and challenges of artificial intelligence in the framework of quality education (SDG4). *Comunicar: Media Education Research Journal*, 31(74), 35-44. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3916/C74-2023-03>

García-Peña, F. J. (2021). Avoiding the dark side of digital transformation in teaching. An institutional reference framework for eLearning in higher education. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 2023. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042023>

George, B., & Wooden, O. (2023). Managing the strategic transformation of higher education through artificial intelligence. *Administrative Sciences*, 13(9), 196. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13090196>

Grájeda, A., Burgos, J., Córdova, P., & Sanjinés, A. (2024). Assessing student-perceived impact of using artificial intelligence tools: Construction of a synthetic index of application in higher education. *Cogent Education*, 11(1), 2287917. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2287917>

İçen, M. (2022). The future of education utilizing artificial intelligence in Turkey. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 9(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-022-01284-4>

Klopov, I., Shapurov, O., Voronkova, V., Nikitenko, V., Oleksenko, R., Khavina, I., & Chebakova, Y. (2023). Digital transformation of education based on artificial intelligence. *TEM Journal*, 12(4), 2625. <http://dx.doi.org/10.18421/TEM124-74>

Kohnke, L., Moorhouse, B. L., & Zou, D. (2023). Exploring generative artificial intelligence preparedness among university language instructors: A case study. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 5, 100156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeari.2023.100156>

Kuleto, V., Ilić, M., Dumangiu, M., Ranković, M., Martins, O. M., Păun, D., & Mihoreanu, L. (2021). Exploring opportunities and challenges of artificial intelligence and machine learning in higher education institutions. *Sustainability*, 13(18), 10424. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su131810424>

Liu, M., Ren, Y., Nyagoga, L. M., Stonier, F., Wu, Z., & Yu, L. (2023). Future of education in the era of generative artificial intelligence: Consensus among Chinese scholars on applications of ChatGPT in schools. *Future in Educational Research*, 1(1), 72-101. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fer3.10>

Luttrell, R., Wallace, A., McCollough, C., & Lee, J. (2020). The digital divide: Addressing artificial intelligence in communication education. *Journalism & Mass Communication Educator*, 75(4), 470-482. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077695820925286>

Mohamed Hashim, M. A., Tlernsani, I., & Matthews, R. (2022). Higher education strategy in digital transformation. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(3), 3171-3195. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10739-1>

Ocaña-Fernández, Y., Valenzuela-Fernández, L. A., & Garro-Aburto, L. L. (2019). Artificial intelligence and its implications in higher education. *Journal of Educational Psychology-Propositos y Representaciones*, 7(2), 553-568. <https://doi.org/10.20511/pyr2019.v7n2.274>

Ohara, M. R. (2023). The role of social media in educational communication management. *Journal of Contemporary Administration and Management*, 1(2), 70-76. <https://doi.org/10.61100/adman.v1i2.25>

OpenAI. (2025). Hakkımızda. <https://openai.com/tr-TR/about/>

Rahiman, H. U., & Kodikal, R. (2024). Revolutionizing education: Artificial intelligence empowered learning in higher education. *Cogent Education*, 11(1), 2293431. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2293431>

Rejeb, A., Rejeb, K., Appolloni, A., Treiblmaier, H., & Iranmanesh, M. (2024). Exploring the impact of ChatGPT on education: A web mining and machine learning approach. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 22(1), 100932. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijime.2024.100932>

Savaş, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and innovative applications in education: The case of Turkey. *Journal of Information Systems and Management Research*, 3(1), 14-26. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/jismar/issue/63377/852043>

Smith, A. D. (2007). Strategic resource-based view of the competitive aspects of e-learning systems. *International Journal of Management in Education*, 1(1-2), 162-175. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMIE.2007.014385>

Southworth, J., Migliaccio, K., Glover, J., Glover, J. N., Reed, D., McCarty, C., ... & Thomas, A. (2023). Developing a model for AI Across the curriculum: Transforming the higher education landscape via innovation in AI literacy. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 4, 100127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caai.2023.100127>

Timotheou, S., Miliou, O., Dimitriadis, Y., Sobrino, S. V., Giannoutsou, N., Cachia, R., ... & Ioannou, A. (2023). Impacts of digital technologies on education and factors influencing schools' digital capacity and transformation: A literature review. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(6), 6695-6726. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11431-8>

Vasudevan, H. (2021). Resource-based view theory application on the educational service quality. *International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology*, 6(6), 174-186. <http://dx.doi.org/10.33564/IJEAST.2021.v06i06.026>

Wang, T., Lund, B. D., Marengo, A., Pagano, A., Mannuru, N. R., Teel, Z. A., & Pange, J. (2023). Exploring the potential impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on international students in higher education: Generative AI, chatbots, analytics, and international student success. *Applied Sciences*, 13(11), 6716. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13116716>

Zouhaier, S. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence on higher education: An empirical study. *European Journal of Educational Sciences*, 10(1), 17-33. <http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/ejes.v10n01a17>